

Series: 100: Case Management
Policy Name: Concurrent Planning

Policy Number: 115

Regulations: FS 39.01(19) SB 664

Origination Date: 11/01/2013 Revision Date: 04/24/2024

Policy: It is the policy of Communities Connected for Kids to employ multiple, simultaneous

permanency goals (concurrent planning) in order to assure more rapid permanency for children and youth. Concurrent planning may be appropriate upon a child's entry into care or may be employed at any time throughout the life of a case. Fundamental to Communities Connected for Kids' concurrent planning practice is the philosophy that no concurrent goal is secondary to another and that each goal is equally valid and must be

addressed as such.

Procedure:

<u>Concurrent Case Planning – General Information</u>

"Concurrent planning" means establishing a permanency goal in a case plan that uses reasonable efforts to reunify the child with the parent, while at the same time establishing another goal that must be one of the following options:

- (a) Adoption when a petition for termination of parental rights has been filed or will be filed;
- (b) Permanent guardianship of a dependent child under s. 39.6221;
- (c) Permanent placement with a fit and willing relative under s. 39.6231; or
- (d) Placement in another planned permanent living arrangement under s. 39.6241.

When to Employ Concurrent Planning

- 1. Every case involving a child in an out-of-home placement shall be evaluated to determine if concurrent case planning is appropriate.
- 2. Factors for establishing a concurrent goal include the history of child welfare involvement, severity of any mental health diagnosis, severity of substance abuse/misuse, pattern of violence, significant criminal and/or incarceration history, severity of abuse or neglect on the child, level of parental engagement with the child and quality of parent's support system.
- It is appropriate to use concurrent planning when there is not a clear, singular goal that would reflect best practice in a given case. In best practice, goals should always ensure a child's speedy move to appropriate permanency.
- 4. Based upon the nature of the child's abuse or neglect and the unlikelihood of reunification, concurrent planning is appropriate.



- 5. The following child and family factors indicate a low probability of successful reunification and should be indicators that lead to the consideration of concurrent planning:
 - a) TPR occurred with another child in the family after services were delivered with no change in the family;
 - b) The parent has killed or seriously harmed another child through abuse or neglect and no significant change has occurred in the interim;
 - c) The parent has committed felony assault that results in harm to this child or another child;
 - d) The parent has repeatedly and with premeditation harmed this child;
 - e) The parent has been diagnosed with severe mental illness and has not responded to previously delivered mental health services and is non-compliant with medication that could enable the parent to protect and nurture the child.
 - f) The parent's only support system and means of support is found in illegal drugs, prostitution, or repeated criminal acts.
 - g) There have been two or more CPS interventions for separate incidents, indicating a pattern of chronic abuse or severe neglect and the lack of responsiveness of parents on each occasion indicates the frequency of problems;
 - h) Other children have been placed in foster care or with relatives for periods of time over six months in duration and the original causal factors are unchanged;
 - i) Parents are addicted to an illegal drug or alcohol, resistant to treatment, and there is a pattern of abuse or neglect associated with that drug usage;
 - j) The child has been abandoned or once placed in care, the parents do not visit the child or participate kin case planning;
 - k) Patterns of domestic violence between the parent and spouse or significant other of one year or longer, resistance of the parent to leave the situation when services and alternatives are offered, with the strong indication that the domestic violence will continue to pose risks to the child;
 - I) Parents have long-term criminal history and current incarceration and prolonged sentence places the child at risk or long-term or permanent foster care;
 - m) Child experienced physical or sexual abuse in infancy;
 - n) Parent is under the age of 16 with no parenting support system and placement of the child with the parent has failed due to parent's behavior;
 - o) Preventive measures have failed to keep the child safely with the parent and the child has experienced abuse or neglect during service provision;
 - p) Parents have asked to relinquish their child following intervention:
 - q) The child has suffered more than one type of abuse, with a high risk of long-term placement and non-compliance of parents to support permanency planning or reunification.



- 6. When establishing a concurrent goal, all permanency options, including expectations, available supports and required timelines must be shared.
- 7. When a goal other than reunification is considered, the parents must be provided information about alternative permanency options.
- 8. Prior to approving case plan modifications, supervisors should determine whether there is a genuine concurrent plan that is being actively pursued and sustained in the event behavior change is not likely in a timely way.
- 9. The appropriateness of concurrent goals shall be evaluated at each permanency staffing.
- 10. When recommending a concurrent goal at a permanency hearing, the new case plan reflecting the concurrent tasks should be filed before the permanency hearing. If the case plan is not filed before the hearing and the concurrent goal are approved by the court, the new case plan must be filed no later than
 10
- calendar days after the hearing.

Documentation

- 1. Concurrent goals will be decided in partnership with case manager, supervisor, Children's Legal Services and upon by the case manager and supervisor during consultations and indicated in the case plan (service) and in the judicial case plan.
- 2. Interactions with the children and families (birth, foster, and pre-adoptive) as well as interactions with collateral resources will reflect efforts towards achieving each of the concurrent goals. These interactions will be reflected in case recordings and in all case reviews.

Approved: Carol Deloach CEO